


ROBERT F. BABCOCK
KENT B. SCOTT
BRIAN J. BABCOCK
JASON H. ROBINSON
JUSTIN E. SCOTT
CODY W. WILSON
ADAM T. MOW, AIA
D. SCOTT DEGRAFFENRIED

\*ADMITTED ALSO IN ARIZONA



DEC 2 2 2009

UT. DEFT. OF TRANSP.
RISK MGMT. UNIT



UT. DE. JF TRANSP.
RISK MGMT. UNIT

WASHINGTON FEDERAL PLAZA 505 EAST 200 SOUTH, SUITE 300 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84102

> TELEPHONE (801) 531-7000 FACSIMILE (801) 531-7060

> WWW.BABCOCKSCOTT.COM

KENT B. SCOTT
ATTORNEY AT LAW
EMAIL: KENT@BABCOCKSCOTT.COM

December 22, 2009

## VIA FAX 801-965-4838, E-MAIL grama@utah.gov and HAND DELIVERY

Utah Department of Transportation Executive Secretary Attn: Jana L. Rasmussen GRAMA COORDINATOR 4501 South 2700 West, Box 148430 Salt Lake City, UT 84119

Re: Request for Public Information - GRAMA

I-15 Corridor Expansion Project No. MP-I15-6(178)245

## Dear Ms. Rasmussen:

Pursuant to Utah Code Annotated 63G-2-101 et seq, we request the opportunity to promptly inspect all documents identified below. In the requests, the term "documents" is meant to include, but not limited to, all correspondence, records, reports, spreadsheets, forms, guidelines, memos, notes, electronic documents including e-mails, recordings, etc. The term "Project" is to include I-15 Corridor Expansion, Project No. MP-I15-6(178)245. The documents requested are as follows:

- 1. All documents relating to any evaluation process used by UDOT relating to the Project.
- 2. All documents relating to the scoring system used by UDOT relating to the Project.
- 3. All documents relating to the points awarded by UDOT to any bidder relating to the Project.
- 4. All documents relating to any valuations or priorities given to the various aspects of the Project.
- 5. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of "Deliver I-15 CORE within the Budget" as set forth in 1.1.1 in the Instructions to Proposers (ITP), Addendum 7, dated November 10, 2009 for the Project.

## VIA FAX 801-965-4838, E-MAIL grama@utah.gov and HAND DELIVERY

Utah Department of Transportation Executive Secretary Attn: Jana L. Rasmussen GRAMA COORDINATOR December 22, 2009 Page 2 of 2

- 6. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of "Best Value for the Budget" as set forth in 1.1.2 and "Highest Value for the Budget" as set forth in 4.5.1 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project.
- 7. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of "Minimize Inconvenience to the Public" as set forth in 1.1.3 and 4.5.2 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project.
- 8. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of "Complete I-15 CORE by 2014" as set forth in 1.1.4 and 4.5.3 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project.
- 9. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of "Uphold the Public Trust" as set forth in 1.1.5 in the ITP for the Project.
- 10. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of the "General Information" set forth in 4.3 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project
- 11. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of the "Administrative Elements" set forth in 4.4 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project
- 12. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of the "Administrative Elements" set forth in 4.6 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project.
- 13. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of the "Price Allocation" set forth in 4.7 along with the respective subparts in the ITP for the Project.
- 14. All documents relating to the determination or evaluation of the "Best Value Determination" set forth in 4.8 in the ITP for the Project.
- 15. All documents relating to the evaluation criteria for each of the following categories: "Significant Strength", "Minor Strength", "Minor Weakness" and "Significant Weakness."
- 16. All documents relied upon by UDOT in its apparent award of the Project to Provo River Constructors.

Please contact us once you have begun gathering the requested documents. Because of the urgency of the matter, we do not want to wait until all of them have been gathered before we begin reviewing the documents.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation in this regard.

Sincerely,

**BABCOCK SCOTT & BABCOCK** 

Kent B. Scott

cc: Terry Poole, FSZ Joint Venture

Frank Rapoport, McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP